App.F.IX-4

1925. (Emigrai)

File No. 212-25

Previous References
Misc 188 12 1 5

Serial Nos. 1—4

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 4

Part B for April 1926

SUBJECT

Petition from Ranga Sowtri, son of naga Sowtri appealing against the Dy. Comrs order appointing Shama Jois as Vadagudi Parivarak of the Sri Chamarajeswara Swamy temple at Chamarajanagar

Misc 13 to date 19.4.26

To the Petitioner

The appeal is rejected as there are no fresh grounds.

G. R. S. 11] 1925

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inezrai)

NOTES.

File No. 212-25

FILE HEADING.

Valagudi Panchanike of Sri Chamanajesmana Swamy temple at chamarajnagar.

From

Ranga Shanti Son of Naga Shanti

No. Petition Dated Received 5-1-26.

Diary No.	Enclos /	Spare Copies —	Serial No. 1
80			

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the Dy. Comrs. order appointing Shama Jois & others of the previous karamanadar as Valagudi Pancharak of the temple.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The appeal petition is duly stamped. A copy of the Dy. Comrs order appealed against, has been filed. The Dy. Comrs. order is dated 26-10-1925 while the appeal

NOTES—First Sheet.

Serial No.

petition was received on 19th January 1926. It is in time
The Dy. Comrs. order explains the facts of the case.
May a report with records be called for?
Submitr. for orders with a D/F.

A/ 23.1.26 Bly 23.1.26

The report with records may be called for.
Draft G.M. put up accordingly, for approval.

Rev. Sect.)

28/1

1st member)

682/26.1

25/1 M/26/1

L. Jesse 27/1

2 To. Mur Comrs.
No 969 Dy 29.1.26
Forwards the above for report with records on the Subject

3. From, the Mur. Comrs
Letter no. C.909 Dy 19.3.26.
Forwards the report with records called for in Sl. 2.
The Dy. Comrs. order appealed against goes fully into the facts

J

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

File No. 212 of 192 S.

Serial No.

of the case.

May the appeal be posted for hearing?

Sd Mental for orders.

The facts of the case are clear from the D.C.'s order appealed against which seems to be quite right, especially in view of the fact that Govt. have in the past decided the case. There do not seem to be any grounds for interference with the order appealed against. The appeal may perhaps be rejected.

~~The D.C's finding confirmed is correct~~
~~S.D.O's order seems correct~~
7.4.26 Rev. Sec'y

The D.C's finding confirming the S.D.O's order seems correct in the circumstances. The appeal may perhaps be rejected.

Rev. Sec'y 7/4

Reference is invited to Mysore Regn. Manual Vol. 2 Chapter 19 Section 188 & 1912

1st Member 8/4 9/4

25/4 9.4

Appeal may be given an Endorsement that Govt. see no reason to interfere with the order & that the appeal is rejected

25/4/26 12/4

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000 -17-12-25

General and Revenue.
Secretariat

File No 212 of 192 5.

Serial No	
	Dft. endt submitting AV 15.4.26 Dd 15/4/26 Dated 15/4
4	To. Rangasrouthi Endt No 1340 Dy 19.4.26 Rejects the appeal No 1341 Dy 19.4.26. Forwards Copy of the above etc.


COURT FEE
TWO RUPEES

ಪ್ರಮಾಣವು ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತಿದೆ.

4 ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಆಡಳಿತ ಮಂಡಳಿಯು ಕೆಲವು ಕಾರಣಗಳಿಂದ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಯನ್ನು ಕೋರಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಮನವಿಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಿ ಆದೇಶ ಹೊರಡಿಸಲು ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂತಹ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ದಿನಾಂಕ 19-8-1974ರಂದು $\frac{170}{225}$ ಮತ್ತು 31-10-1974ರಂದು $\frac{210}{274}$ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊರಡಿಸಿದ್ದು, ಅದನ್ನು ಪ್ರಶ್ನಿಸಿ ಮೇಲ್ಮನವಿದಾರರು ಈ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

5 ಈ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೇಲ್ಮನವಿದಾರರ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಹಾಜರಾದ ವಿದ್ವಾಂಸರು ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ಹೊರಡಿಸಲು ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬ ವಾದವನ್ನು ಮಂಡಿಸಿದರು. ಆದರೆ ಈ ವಾದವನ್ನು ಸಮರ್ಥಿಸಲು ಅವರು ಯಾವುದೇ ಕಾನೂನಿನ ಅಥವಾ ನಿಯಮದ ಆಧಾರವನ್ನು ನೀಡಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಹಾಜರಾದ ವಿದ್ವಾಂಸರು ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ಹೊರಡಿಸಲು ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿದ್ದರು ಎಂಬ ವಾದವನ್ನು ಸಮರ್ಥಿಸಿದರು. ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ಹೊರಡಿಸಲು ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿದ್ದರೆ ಅಥವಾ ಇಲ್ಲವೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಲು ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ.

6 ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೇಲ್ಮನವಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಲಾರಲಿಂಗೇಶ್ವರ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಆಡಳಿತ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಧರ್ಮದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನವು ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅಧೀನದಲ್ಲಿದೆ. ಈ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನವು ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅಧೀನದಲ್ಲಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಈ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಆಡಳಿತ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಧರ್ಮದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ಪ್ರಶ್ನಿಸಲು ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಅರ್ಹರಾಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

7 ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೇಲ್ಮನವಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಲಾರಲಿಂಗೇಶ್ವರ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಆಡಳಿತ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಧರ್ಮದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನವು ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅಧೀನದಲ್ಲಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಈ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಆಡಳಿತ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಧರ್ಮದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ಪ್ರಶ್ನಿಸಲು ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಅರ್ಹರಾಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

8 ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೇಲ್ಮನವಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಲಾರಲಿಂಗೇಶ್ವರ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಆಡಳಿತ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಧರ್ಮದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಈ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನವು ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಅಧೀನದಲ್ಲಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಈ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಆಡಳಿತ ಮಂಡಳಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಮತ್ತು ಧರ್ಮದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ಪ್ರಶ್ನಿಸಲು ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಅರ್ಹರಾಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

In the court of the Deputy Commissioner Nagara-
district,

Original Suit Petition No: 157 of 1917-18

Dated 26th October 1921.

Present:- A.V. Ramanathan Esquire B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Nagara district.

Appellants:- (1) Rangaswamy, Adm. of Raja
-swamy, Nanjangud
(2) Venkatachaiah alias Chinna of
Nanjangud,

This is an appeal against the order passed by
Sub Division Officer Nanjangud in O.S. No. 382 dated
20-12-1917 directing that the Valag di Pai
-chaike Devasandu in the Sri Dharmarajeswa-
-swami Temple should be made in the Pai
of Chinnaya etc.

Facts of the case.

The Valagadi Archakathe Devasthanam of
the Sri Dharmarajeswaraswami Temple was
in the name of Ramakrishnabhatta (Res-
-pondent No. 1) for 14 years

1873 silver articles, viz., "ಪ್ರಭಾವಳಿ, ಪಾದುಕೆ, ಆಯುಧ ಮತ್ತು ಪಾತ್ರೆಗಳು" of the temple were stolen and it was ordered that after recovering the cost of stolen articles from certain officials including Ramachandra Bhatta, they might be allowed to continue in their appointment. Ramachandra Bhatta did not pay the amount and went away to Ranjangud where he was doing duties in the Srikanteswara swami temple. Though notice was issued to Ramachandra Bhatta to give his deal after paying the amount due to the temple towards loss of the vessels, he did not pay the amount. As the heirs of Ramachandra Bhatta did not join in their claim, one Subbaraya who was then looking after the purchase part of the Annamanamma shrine was appointed in the place of Ramachandra Bhatta as per inquiry Commissioner's Order Sheet dated 21-10-1874. This Subbaraya continued in the said post till the year 1911 for about 37 years, on the application of Subbaraya stating that he was infirm owing to his old age and that he had no issue to look after his post, and praying that Thammanna Venkatesaiah (2nd appellant) might be in his post, the Sub division officer, D. Narasimharaja Thus Thammanna

alias Venkatesiah might be appointed as paricharika. Accordingly, the then Deputy Commissioner (Mr. K. Krishna Iyer) approved the recommendation of the Sub division officer and further directed that the claims of Shamayya and Ranga Shroute (1/4 appellant) should be rejected ~~the endorsement should be rejected~~ the and an endorsement should be issued to the above effect (No. 816 dated 26-3-1912)

Subbaraya again petitioned this office on 29-4-1913 stating that Shamanna alias Venkatesiah who was appointed in his place had not yet joined the duty and praying that his nephew (brother's son) might be appointed in place of Shamanna. The petition was forwarded to the Sub division officer Ranjangud, for report with his opinion.

Meanwhile Ranga Shroute appealed to Government against the order of the Deputy Commissioner appointing Shamanna as Nalagudi paricharak, while forwarding a copy of endorsement issued to Ranga Shroute rejecting his appeal, the Government directed the Deputy Commissioner to keep the karaikar in the name of Subbaraya during his life time and to appoint a substitute

Subbarayas place with the stipulation that a sum of Rs. 2/- a month should be given by the latter towards the maintenance of Subbaraya and further ordered the appointment of Narayana Jois, the nephew of Subba Raya if he agreed to the condition and suited for the post. This was also communicated to the Sub Division office - Ranjangud. (Letter No: 3073/1914-15 dated 27-4-1914)

One Rama Jois alias Venkatesh Jois (brother of Narayana Jois and nephew of Subbaraya) petitioned the Deputy Commissioner on 24-6-1916 stating that Subbaraya died in his house on 18-5-1916 and that he performed the obsequies and praying that the Malagudi Pariharike - bearaward should be made in his name and this was also forwarded to the Sub division office Ranjangud for disposal or report, if necessary.

MYSORE DISTRICT

The Sub division officer Ranjangud passed the following Order

(1) That as Ramachandrabhatta did not pay the stipulated amount towards the cost of stolen silver article, and as Rangasouthi did not urge claim since 1874, the 1st appellant's claim not be considered.

The claim of Thamamma alias Venkatesh

could not be considered as he did not go and join duty in the temple at Chamaraja nagar and as he has appointment in the Sri Srikanteswaraswami temple at Nanjangud.

(3) That Shamaiah who was reported to be the nephew of Subbaraya; who looked to the comforts of Subbaraya during his old age and who performed the obsequies was appointed as Valagudi Paricharak.

It is against this order both the 1st and 2nd appellants have appealed on the following grounds:-

1st appellant.

COMMISSIONER
MYSORE
DISTRICT

(1) That as the silver vessels were lost during the time of one Ramiah who was acting in place of Ramachandra Bhatta on leave, the latter is in no way responsible for the loss.

(2) That no notice was issued to Ramachandra Bhatta or his relatives at Nanjangud as brought out in the Sub division officer's order.

(3) That Subbaraya was only acting in place of Ramachandra Bhatta, the appeal is not time barred.

2nd appellant,

(1) That as soon as he was appointed he could not proceed to Chamarajanagar owing to some family troubles

2) That Thana gois alias

& to have the Subbaraya and

the Valagudi Pare post.

(3) That the appointment once made was can-

celled without any reason.

Order

Both appellants heard.

The appellants' claims were rejected even by the (W&R

Government, viewing the life times of Subbaraya for

a Gurukkal ship. They have no claim for the

present permanent tenancy,

Chandra Bhatta himself has the best

over have also same claim through him,

The Sub division officers order will stand.

Sd/ A. V. Viswanathan

Deputy Commissioner

Tuticorin dated

96/10/RS

"Copy"

By Order

Superintendent

Compd the

5 11 25

Papers applied for 5 11 25

" Received 5 11 25

" delivered on 5-11-25

No. of folios K.S. Rs. 9/2

Expunged 1 5 6

Compared on 5 11

1 1 10 10

or 6 11/2

Muz.8]

DRAFT.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section. Muz

File No 242-25

Serial No. 2

No.... 969 Ex 2

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT,

( )

, *the* ..... *192* .

The accompanying Petition Registered No ....820 . dated ..16.-1-26

....192 . received at the ~~Muzrai~~ Revd. Secretariat from....Ranga Swami Sastri of Na, Swami ..... appealing against the ..... Dy. Com's. order appointing ..... Sharma Jois as ..... Valagudi Panchayat of Sri Channakesava Swami Temple at Channarayapatna is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the..... are

....

....

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್. . ...ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

....ಹೋಬಳಿ

....ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

....ಗೆ.

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಹುಕುಂ

ನಂಬರು.....

. ...

....

....

....

....

....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ..... .. . ..

ಮರುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು.... .. .. .ಬಗ್ಗೆ

.....ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

By Order

Secretary to Government.
Muz Dept

List of enclosures

Copied by... .. ..

Examined by..... ....

WD0587—GPB—1000—11-8-22

No C909725-2 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
one file

Dated Bangalore, 18th March 1926.

79

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT. MAR 1926 MUZRAI 21080

From

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Mcor 212-25-3

GENL. & REVENUE SECTT. Br. Mcor 19 MAR 1926 G. D. SECTION.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your C.M.No.969/Muz.212-25-2 dated 29th January 1926 forwarding for report with records petition from --- Ranga Srowti son of Naga Srowti appealing against the (9) Mysore ---- District Deputy Commissioner's order appointing Shama Jois as --- Valagudi Paricharak of Sri Chamarajeswara Swamy temple at Chamarajanagar, I have the honor to submit herewith the entire records ---- received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter No.C.601 of 25-26 dated 5th March 1925. The facts of the case are stated to have -- been fully setforth in the preamble to his office order appealed against. (7)

The appeal petition with its enclosures is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
B Ramaswamaiya
Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

RA

SUBJECT.....

Section

File No. 212-25

Seria No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to...

No. 1340

To

.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue 19/4/26

Signed by.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over